arXiv:1511.04866v2 [math.AG] 15 Jan 2016

SEMI-CONTINUITY FOR TOTAL DIMENSION DIVISORS OF ÉTALE SHEAVES

HAOYU HU AND ENLIN YANG

Abstract. In this article, we extend an inequality that compares the pull-back of the total dimension divisor of an étale sheaf and the total dimension divisor of the pull-back of the sheaf due to Saito. Using this formula, we generalize Deligne and Laumon's lower semi-continuity property for Swan conductors of étale sheaves on relative curves to higher relative dimensions in a geometric situation.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation 3
3. Ramification and geometric invariants of étale sheaves 4
4. Main results 6
5. Geometric preliminaries 7
6. Bounding the pull-back of the total dimension divisor over a curve 9
7. Bounding the pull-back of the total dimension divisor over an arbitrary variety 10
8. Semi-continuity of total dimension divisors 11
References 16

1. Introduction

1.1. Let S be an excellent noetherian scheme, $f : X \to S$ a separated and smooth morphism of relative dimension 1, D a closed subset of X which is finite and flat over S, U the complement of D in X and $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection. Let ℓ be a prime number invertible in S and $\mathscr{F}$ a locally constant and constructible sheaf of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-modules on U of constant rank. For any point $s \in S$, we denote by $\bar{s} \to S$ an algebraic geometric point above s (cf. 2.2) and by $X_{\bar{s}}$ and $D_{\bar{s}}$ the fibers of $f : X \to S$ and $f|_D : D \to S$ at $\bar{s}$, respectively. For each point $x \in D_{\bar{s}}$, we define

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}) = \mathrm{Sw}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}) + \mathrm{rank}(\mathscr{F}), \tag{1.1.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Sw}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}})$ denotes the classical Swan conductor of the sheaf $j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}$ at x which is an integer number [12, 19.3]. The sum

$$\sum_{x \in D_{\bar{s}}} \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}) \tag{1.1.2}$$

does not depend on the choice of $\bar{s}$ above s. It defines a function $\varphi : S \to \mathbb{Z}$. The following property of φ is due to Deligne and Laumon:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F20; Secondary 11S15.
Key words and phrases. Semi-continuity, ramification, total dimension divisors.

Theorem 1.2 ([8, 2.1.1]). *We take the notation and assumptions of 1.1. Then,*

(1) *The function $\varphi : S \to \mathbb{Z}$ is constructible and lower semi-continuous on S.*
(2) *If $\varphi : S \to \mathbb{Z}$ is locally constant, then $f : X \to S$ is universally locally acyclic with respect to $j_!\mathscr{F}$.*

1.3. Assume that $f : X \to S$ has relative dimension $\geqslant 1$, and D is a Cartier divisor on X relative to S. For each algebraic geometric point $\bar{s}$ of S, the ramification of $\mathscr{F}|_{U_{\bar{s}}}$ along $D_{\bar{s}}$ defines a divisor supported on $D_{\bar{s}}$ which is called the *total dimension divisor* of $\mathscr{F}|_{U_{\bar{s}}}$ on $X_{\bar{s}}$ (cf. (1.5.1)). It is a generalization of the sum (1.1.2). This article is devoted to proving the semi-continuity of the total dimension divisor of étale sheaves in a geometric setting, that generalizes the property (1) of theorem 1.2 (cf. theorem 1.7 and theorem 4.5).

1.4. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field, $\mathscr{O}_K$ its integer ring and F the residue field of $\mathscr{O}_K$. We assume that the characteristic of F is $p > 0$. Let $\overline{K}$ be a separable closure of K and we denote by G_K the Galois group of $\overline{K}/K$. Abbes and Saito defined a decreasing filtration G_K^r ($r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 1}$) of G_K, called the ramification filtration [1, 2]. We fix a prime number ℓ different from p. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-module on which the wild inertia subgroup of G_K acts through a finite quotient, $M = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Q}} M^{(r)}$ the slope decomposition of M (cf. (3.2.1)) and

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_K M = \sum_{r\geqslant 1} r \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} M^{(r)} \tag{1.4.1}$$

the *total dimension* of M (cf. (3.2.2)). If the residue field F is perfect, then the ramification filtration coincides with the canonical upper numbering filtration shifted by one [1, 3.7] and the total dimension of M is the sum of the classical Swan conductor of M and the dimension of M over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$.

1.5. In the following, let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Y be a smooth k-scheme, E a reduced effective Cartier divisor on Y, $\{E_i\}_{i\in I}$ the set of irreducible components of E, W the complement of E in Y, $h : W \to Y$ the canonical injection and $\mathscr{G}$ a locally constant and constructible sheaf of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-modules on W. Let ξ_i be the generic point of E_i, $\bar{\xi}_i$ a geometric point above ξ_i, η_i the generic point of the strict localization $Y_{(\bar{\xi}_i)}$ and $\bar{\eta}_i$ a geometric point above η_i. The restriction $\mathscr{G}|_{\eta_i}$ is associated to a finite $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-module with a continuous $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}_i/\eta_i)$-action. We denote by $\mathrm{dimtot}_{E_i}(h_!\mathscr{G})$ the total dimension of $\mathscr{G}|_{\eta_i}$ (1.4.1) and, following [9, Definition 3.5], define the *total dimension divisor* of $\mathscr{G}$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{DT}_Y(h_!\mathscr{G}) = \sum_{i\in I} \mathrm{dimtot}_{E_i}(h_!\mathscr{G}) \cdot E_i. \tag{1.5.1}$$

It is a divisor with integral coefficients ([13, 4.4.3] and [9, Proposition 3.10]).

1.6. In the following, let S be an irreducible k-scheme of finite type, $f : X \to S$ a separated and smooth k-morphism of finite type, D an effective Cartier divisor on X relative to S, U the complement of D in X and $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection. For each point $s \in S$, we denote by $\bar{s} \to S$ an algebraic geometric point above s and by $X_{\bar{s}}$ (resp. $D_{\bar{s}}$) the fiber of $f : X \to S$ (resp. the fiber of $f|_D : D \to S$) at $\bar{s}$. We assume that $f|_D : D \to S$ is smooth and that, for each geometric point $\bar{s} \to S$, the fiber $D_{\bar{s}}$ is irreducible. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally constant and constructible sheaf of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-modules on U. For any point $s \in S$, the total dimension number $\mathrm{dimtot}_{D_{\bar{s}}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}})$ is independent of the choice of $\bar{s}$. It defines a function $\psi : S \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.7. *Under the assumptions of 1.6, the function $\psi : S \to \mathbb{Z}$ is constructible and lower semi-continuous on S.*

Confer to theorem 4.5 for a more general statement.

1.8. The strategy to prove theorem 1.7 starts from an inequality due to Saito that compares the pull-back of the total dimension divisor of an étale sheaf and the total dimension divisor of the pull-back of the sheaf (cf. 3.7). We take again the notation and assumptions of 1.5. Let C be a smooth k-curve and $\iota : C \to Y$ an immersion. We assume that C intersects E properly at a closed point $y \in Y$, that E is smooth at y and that the ramification of $\mathscr{G}$ is non-degenerate at y [9, Definition 3.5] (cf. 3.6). Then, we have [9, Proposition 3.9]

$$(\mathrm{DT}_Y(h_!\mathscr{G}), C)_y \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_y(h_!\mathscr{G}|_C). \tag{1.8.1}$$

Moreover, if $\iota : C \to Y$ satisfies a certain geometric condition (cf. 3.7), we have [9, Proposition 3.9]

$$(\mathrm{DT}_Y(h_!\mathscr{G}), C)_y = \mathrm{dimtot}_y(h_!\mathscr{G}|_C). \tag{1.8.2}$$

Firstly, we extend the inequality (1.8.1). More precisely, we prove that (1.8.1) holds without assuming that E is smooth at y and that the ramification of $\mathscr{G}$ is non-degenerate at y (proposition 4.2). After that, using the generalized inequality (1.8.1) and the equality (1.8.2), we reduce theorem 1.7 to a relative curve case. Using theorem 1.2, we deduce the following two properties of $\psi : S \to \mathbb{Z}$:

(1) For any closed point $t \in S$, we have $\psi(t) \leqslant \psi(\eta)$, where η denotes the generic point of S (more generally, cf. proposition 8.2).
(2) There exists an open dense subset $V \subset S$ such that, for any closed point $t \in S$, we have $\psi(t) \geqslant \psi(\eta)$ (more generally, cf. proposition 8.4).

Finally, we prove that theorem 1.7 is a consequence of properties (1) and (2) (cf. 8.6).

1.9. This article is organized as follows. We briefly recall Abbes and Saito's ramification theory and mention some geometric invariants of étale sheaves in §3. We formulate our main results in §4. After presenting some useful geometric preliminaries in §5, we prove various generalizations of inequality (1.8.1) (proposition 4.2 and theorem 4.3) in §6 and §7. The last section is devoted to the proof of theorem 1.7 (cf. theorem 4.5).

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to professors A. Abbes, H. Esnault, L. Fu and T. Saito for their inspiring discussion and stimulating suggestions. The first author is supported by JSPS postdoctoral fellowship during his stay at the University of Tokyo and the second author is partially supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for his research at Freie Universität Berlin. The authors are grateful to these institutions.

2. Notation

2.1. In this article, k denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. We fix a prime number ℓ which is different from p and a finite field Λ of characteristic ℓ. All k-schemes are assumed to be separated and of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and all morphisms between k-schemes are assumed to be k-morphisms.

2.2. Let x be a point of a scheme X. An algebraic geometric point $\bar{x} \to X$ above x is a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k(x)}) \to X$ that factors through the canonical map $\mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \to X$, where $\overline{k(x)}$ is an algebraic closure of $k(x)$.

2.3. Let $f : X \to S$ be a morphism of schemes, $s \in S$ and $\bar{s} \to S$ a geometric point above s. We denote by X_s (resp. $X_{\bar{s}}$) the fiber of $f : X \to S$ at s (resp. the fiber of $f : X \to S$ at $\bar{s}$).

For any morphism $\pi : S' \to S$, we put $X' = X \times_S S'$ and put $\pi' : X' \to X$ the canonical projection. Assume that $f : X \to S$ is flat and of finite presentation. Let D be a Cartier divisor on X relative to S [5, IV, 21.15.2]. We denote by $\pi'^* D$ the pull-back of D, which is a Cartier divisor on X' relative to S' [5, IV, 21.15.9]. When S' is a point s' of S, we simply put $D_{s'} = \pi'^* D$.

2.4. Let X be a smooth scheme over a field. We denote by $\mathbb{T}X$ the tangent bundle of X and by $\mathbb{T}^*X$ the cotangent bundle of X. For $x \in X$, we put $\mathbb{T}_x X = \mathbb{T}X \times_X x$ and $\mathbb{T}^*_x X = \mathbb{T}^*X \times_X x$.

2.5. Let κ be a field, X be a smooth κ-scheme and C a closed conical subset in $\mathbb{T}^*X$ [3, 1.0].

Let $h : Y \to X$ be a κ-morphism of smooth κ-schemes. We say that $h\colon Y \to X$ is *C-transversal at a point $y \in Y$* if $(\ker(dh_y) \cap C) \times_X h(y) = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*_{h(y)} X$, where $dh_y : \mathbb{T}^*_{h(y)} X \to \mathbb{T}^*_y Y$ is the canonical map. We say that $h\colon Y \to X$ is *C-transversal* if it is C-transversal at every point of Y. If $h : Y \to X$ is C-transversal, we define $h^\circ C$ to be the image of $Y \times_X C$ in $\mathbb{T}^*Y$, where $dh : Y \times_X \mathbb{T}^*X \to \mathbb{T}^*Y$ is the canonical map. It is also a closed conical subset of $\mathbb{T}^*Y$.

Let $f : X \to W$ be a κ-morphism of smooth κ-schemes. We say that $f : X \to W$ is *C-transversal at a point $x \in X$* if $df_x^{-1}(C) = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*_{f(x)} W$, where $df_x : \mathbb{T}^*_{f(x)} W \to \mathbb{T}^*_x X$ is the canonical map. We say that $f : X \to W$ is *C-transversal* if it is C-transversal at every point of X.

Let $h : Y \to X$ and $f : Y \to W$ be a pair of κ-morphisms of smooth κ-schemes. We say that (h, f) is *C-transversal* if $h : Y \to X$ is C-transversal and $f : Y \to W$ is $h^\circ C$-transversal.

2.6. Let X be a scheme over a field and D and E two Cartier divisors on X. We say that D is bigger than E and write $D \geqslant E$, if $D - E$ is effective.

2.7. Let X be a scheme over a field of finite type, $f : Y \to X$ a morphism of finite type and $g : Z \to X$ a regular immersion of codimension c. We say *Z intersects Y properly* if, for each irreducible component Y' of Y, the fiber product $Z' = Y' \times_X Z$ is equidimensional and the canonical injection $Z' \to Y'$ is of codimension c.

3. Ramification and geometric invariants of étale sheaves

3.1. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field, $\mathscr{O}_K$ its integer ring and F the residue field of $\mathscr{O}_K$. We assume that the characteristic of F is $p > 0$. Let $\overline{K}$ be a separable closure of K and G_K the Galois group of $\overline{K}/K$. Abbes and Saito defined a decreasing filtration G^r_K ($r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 1}$) of G_K by closed normal subgroups called the ramification filtration [1, 3.1]. For any $r \geqslant 1$, we put

$$G^{r+}_K = \overline{\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}_{>r}} G^s_K}.$$

The subgroup G^1_K is the inertia subgroup I_K of G_K and G^{1+}_K is the wild inertia subgroup P_K of G_K, i.e., the p-Sylow subgroup of I_K [1, 3.7]. If F is perfect, the filtration $\{G^r_K\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 1}}$ coincides with the canonical upper numbering filtration shifted by one (*loc. cit.*). If $\mathrm{Char}(K) = p$, then, for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>1}$, the graded piece G^r_K / G^{r+}_K is abelian and killed by p ([2, 2.15] and [9, Corollary 2.28]).

3.2. Let M be a finitely generated Λ-module with a continuous P_K-action. The module M has a unique decomposition [7, 1.1]

$$M = \bigoplus_{r \geqslant 1} M^{(r)} \tag{3.2.1}$$

into P_K-stable submodules $M^{(r)}$, such that $M^{(1)} = M^{P_K}$ and for every $r > 1$,

$$(M^{(r)})^{G_K^r} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (M^{(r)})^{G_K^{r+}} = M^{(r)}.$$

The decomposition (3.2.1) is called the *slope decomposition* of M. The values $r \geqslant 0$ for which $M^{(r)} \neq 0$ are called the *slopes* of M. The *total dimension* of M is defined by

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_K M = \sum_{r \geqslant 1} r \cdot \dim_\Lambda M^{(r)}. \tag{3.2.2}$$

If the residue field F is perfect, the invariant $\mathrm{dimtot}_K M$ is the classical total dimension of M, i.e., $\mathrm{dimtot}_K M = \mathrm{Sw}_K M + \dim_\Lambda M$, where $\mathrm{Sw}_K M$ denotes the classical Swan conductor of M [12, 19.3].

3.3. In the following of this section, let κ be a field of characteristic p.

Let X be a smooth κ-scheme, D a reduced effective Cartier divisor on X, $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ the set of irreducible components of D, U the complement of D in X and $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection. We assume that each D_i is generically smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$. Choose an algebraic closure $\bar{\kappa}$ of κ. We denote by ξ_i the generic point of an irreducible component of $D_{i,\bar{\kappa}} = D_i \times_\kappa \bar{\kappa}$, by $\bar{\xi}_i$ a geometric point above ξ_i, by η_i the generic point of the strict localization $X_{\bar{\kappa},(\bar{\xi}_i)}$, by K_i the function field of $X_{\bar{\kappa},(\bar{\xi}_i)}$ and by $\overline{K}_i$ a separable closure of K_i. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on U. The restriction $\mathscr{F}|_{\eta_i}$ corresponds to a finitely generated Λ-module with a continuous $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}_i/K_i)$-action. Since the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\kappa}/\kappa)$-action on the set of irreducible components of $D_{i,\bar{\kappa}}$ is transitive, the total dimension $\mathrm{dimtot}_{K_i}(\mathscr{F}|_{\eta_i})$ of $\mathscr{F}|_{\eta_i}$ dose not depend on the choice of $\bar{\kappa}$ nor on the choice of the irreducible component of $D_{i,\bar{\kappa}}$. Following [9, Definition 3.5], we define the *total dimension divisor* of $j_!\mathscr{F}$ on X and denote by $\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})$ the divisor:

$$\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}) = \sum_i \mathrm{dimtot}_{K_i}(\mathscr{F}|_{\eta_i}) \cdot D_i. \tag{3.3.1}$$

It has integral coefficients ([13, 4.4.3] and [9, Proposition 3.10]).

Let κ' be a finite extension of κ and $f : X_{\kappa'} = X \times_\kappa \kappa' \to X$ the canonical projection. By definition, we have

$$f^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_{\kappa'}}(f^* j_!\mathscr{F}). \tag{3.3.2}$$

Remark 3.4. In the following, under the assumption of 3.3, we denote by $\mathrm{dimtot}_{D_i}(j_!\mathscr{F})$ the coefficient of D_i in the total dimension divisor $\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})$ instead of $\mathrm{dimtot}_{K_i}(\mathscr{F}|_{\eta_i})$ in (3.3.1).

3.5. Let S be an irreducible κ-scheme of finite type, $f : X \to S$ a smooth κ-morphism, $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ a set of effective Cartier divisors on X relative to S, D the sum of all D_i ($i \in I$), U the complements of D in X and $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection. For each $i \in I$, we assume that D_i is irreducible as the associated closed subscheme of X and that $f|_{D_i} : D_i \to S$ is smooth. We denote by η the generic point of S. Notice that $D_{i,\eta}$ is an integral effective Cartier divisor on X_η for each $i \in I$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on U. We define the *generic total dimension divisor of* $\mathscr{F}$ on X and denote by $\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})$ the divisor (remark 3.4)

$$\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F}) = \sum_{i\in I} \mathrm{dimtot}_{D_{i,\eta}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_\eta}) \cdot D_i. \tag{3.5.1}$$

Let S' be an irreducible smooth κ-scheme of finite type and $\pi : S' \to S$ a dominant and generically finite κ-morphism. We denote by $f' : X' \to S'$ (resp. by $\pi' : X' \to X$) the base change of $f : X \to S$ (resp. $\pi : S' \to S$). By (3.3.2), we have

$$\pi'^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{GDT}_{f'}(\pi'^* j_!\mathscr{F}). \tag{3.5.2}$$

3.6. Let X be a connected smooth k-scheme of dimension n, D a reduced effective Cartier divisor on X, U the complement of D in X and $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally constant and constructible sheaf on U. After removing a closed subset $Z \subseteq D$ of codimension 2 in X, the complement $D_0 = D - Z$ is smooth and the ramification of $\mathscr{F}$ along D_0 is *non-degenerate* [9, Definition 3.1]. Roughly speaking, the ramification of an étale sheaf along a divisor is non-degenerate if its ramification is controlled by the ramification at generic points of the divisor. Saito defined the *characteristic cycle* of $j_!\mathscr{F}$ on the complement $X_0 = X - Z$ using Abbes and Saito's ramification theory and denoted by $CC(j_!\mathscr{F})$ [9, Definition 3.5]. It is an n-cycle on the cotangent bundle $\mathbb{T}^*X_0$. The support of $CC(j_!\mathscr{F})$ is called the *singular support* of $j_!\mathscr{F}$ and denoted by $SS(j_!\mathscr{F})$. It is a closed conical subset of $\mathbb{T}^*X_0$. In this case, the singular support $SS(j_!\mathscr{F})$ is a union of the zero-section $\mathbb{T}^*_{X_0}X_0$ and a closed conical subset of $D_0 \times_{X_0} \mathbb{T}^*X_0$ and, for every point $x \in D_0$, the fiber $SS_x(j_!\mathscr{F}) = SS(j_!\mathscr{F}) \times_X x$ is of dimension 1 [9, Proposition 3.12].

3.7. We take the notation and assumptions of 3.6. Let C be a smooth k-curve and $g : C \to X$ an immersion. We assume that C intersects D properly at a closed point $x \in X$, that D is smooth at x and that the ramification of $\mathscr{F}$ along the divisor D is non-degenerate at x. Hence, the singular support of $j_!\mathscr{F}$ can be defined using ramification theory in a neighborhood of x (cf. 3.6). Then we have [9, Proposition 3.9]

$$(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{3.7.1}$$

Moreover, if $g : C \to X$ is $SS(j_!\mathscr{F})$-transversal at x (2.5), then [9, Proposition 3.9]

$$(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x = \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{3.7.2}$$

3.8. We should mention that the singular support and characteristic cycle of étale sheaves can be constructed in a more general setting beyond [9] (cf. 3.6).

Let X be a smooth κ-scheme and $\mathscr{K}$ be a complex of étale sheaves of Λ-modules on X with bounded constructible cohomologies. We say that $\mathscr{K}$ is *micro-supported* on a closed conical subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*X$ if for every C-transveral pair of κ-morphisms $h : Y \to X$ and $f : Y \to W$ (2.5), the morphism $f : Y \to W$ is locally acyclic with respect to $h^*\mathscr{K}$. Beilinson recently proved that there exists a smallest closed conical subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*X$ on which $\mathscr{K}$ is micro-supported [3, Theorem 1.2]. It is called the *singular support* of $\mathscr{K}$ and denoted by $SS(\mathscr{K})$. If X is connected, each irreducible component of $SS(\mathscr{K})$ has dimension $\dim_\kappa X$ (*loc. cit.*).

Assume that κ is perfect and that X is connected of dimension n. Saito recently defined an n-cycle in $\mathbb{T}^*X$ supported on the singular support of $\mathscr{K}$, which is characterized by a Milnor formula [11, Theorem 3.6]. It is called the *characteristic cycle* of $\mathscr{K}$ and denoted by $CC(\mathscr{K})$. The intersection of $CC(\mathscr{K})$ and the zero-section of $\mathbb{T}^*X$ computes the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\mathscr{K}$ [11, Theorem 4.21].

4. Main results

4.1. Let X be a smooth k-scheme, D a reduced effective Cartier divisor on X, U the complement of D in X, $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection and $\mathscr{F}$ a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ-module.

Proposition 4.2. *We take the notation and assumptions of 4.1. Let C be a smooth k-curve and $i : C \to X$ an immersion. We assume that C intersects D properly at a closed point $x \in X$. Then, we have*

$$(\mathrm{DT}(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{4.2.1}$$

This proposition is a generalization of (3.7.1). More generally, we have the following theorem :

Theorem 4.3. *We take the notation and assumptions of 4.1. Let Y be a smooth k-scheme and $f : Y \to X$ a k-morphism such that $Y \times_X D$ is an effective Cartier divisor on Y. Then, we have*

$$f^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_Y(f^*j_!\mathscr{F}). \tag{4.3.1}$$

4.4. Let S be an irreducible k-scheme, $f : X \to S$ a smooth k-morphism, $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ a set of effective Cartier divisors on X relative to S, D the sum of all D_i $(i \in I)$, U the complements of D in X and $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection. For every $i \in I$, we assume that D_i is irreducible as the associated closed subscheme of X and that $f|_{D_i} : D_i \to S$ is smooth. For any point $t \in S$, we denote by $\rho_t : X_t \to X$ the canonical injection. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on U.

Theorem 4.5. *Under the notation and assumptions of 4.4, there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that:*

(1) *for any point $s \in V$, we have $\rho_s^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s})$;*
(2) *for any point $t \in S - V$, we have $\rho_t^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t})$.*

This theorem generalizes Deligne and Laumon's result [8, 2.1.1] (cf. theorem 1.2) in the geometric case.

5. Geometric preliminaries

Proposition 5.1 (cf. [5, I, Chapitre 0, 15.1.16])**.** *Let $A \to B$ be a local homomorphism of noetherian local rings, κ the residue field of A, b an element of the maximal ideal of B and $\bar{b} \in B \times_A \kappa$ the residue class of b. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The quotient B/bB is flat over A and b is a non-zero divisor of B;*
(2) *B is flat over A and $\bar{b}$ is a non-zero divisor of $B \otimes_A \kappa$.*

It is deduced by the equivalence of (b) and (c) of [5, I, Chaptire 0, 15.1.16].

Proposition 5.2 ([5, IV, 18.12.1])**.** *Let S and D be schemes, $f : D \to S$ a separated morphism locally of finite type, x a point of D and $s = f(x)$. We assume that x is an isolated point of $f^{-1}(s)$. Then, there exists an étale morphism $S' \to S$, a point x' of $D' = D \times_S S'$ above x and a Zariski open and closed neighborhood V' of $x' \in D'$ such that V' is finite over S' and $f'^{-1}(f'(x')) \cap V' = \{x'\}$, where $f' : D' \to S'$ denotes the base change of $f : D \to S$.*

Proposition 5.3. *Let κ be a field with infinitely many elements, X a connected smooth κ-scheme of dimension $n \geqslant 2$, D an effective Cartier divisor on X which is smooth at a κ-rational point $x \in D$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*_x X$ a closed conical subset of dimension 1. Then, we can find a smooth κ-curve C and an immersion $h : C \to X$ such that C intersects D transversally at x and that $\ker(dh) \cap S = \{0\}$, where $dh : \mathbb{T}^*_x X \to \mathbb{T}^*_x C$ is the canonical map.*

Proof. Since S is a closed conical subset of dimension 1 of $\mathbb{T}^*_x X$, it is a union of finite many 1-dimensional vector spaces $\bigcup_{i\in I} L_i \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*_x X$. We denote by $L_i^\vee \subseteq \mathbb{T}_x X$ the dual hyperplane of L_i. The divisor D is smooth at $x \in X$, then the stalk of the tangent bundle $\mathbb{T}_x D$ is a hyperplane of $\mathbb{T}_x X$. Since κ has infinitely many elements, we can find a non-zero vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_x X - ((\bigcup_{i\in I} L_i^\vee) \bigcup \mathbb{T}_x D)$.

We denote by $H_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{T}^*_x X$ the dual hyperplane corresponding to $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_x X$ and by $\bar{t}_1, \cdots, \bar{t}_{n-1}$ generators of H_λ. Let $t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}$ be liftings of $\bar{t}_1, \cdots, \bar{t}_{n-1}$ in the maximal idea $\mathfrak{m}_x$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X,x}$. Zariski locally on $x \in X$, we define a curve C by the ideal $(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1})$. It is smooth at z and $\mathbb{T}_x C$ is spanned by $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_x X$. We have $(C, D)_x = 1$ since $\mathbb{T}_x C \nsubseteq \mathbb{T}_x D$. The relation $\mathbb{T}_x C \nsubseteq \bigcup_{i\in I} L_i^\vee$ implies that $\ker(dh) \cap S = \{0\}$. □

Proposition 5.4 (cf. [5, III, 9.5.3]). *Let S be an irreducible noetherian scheme, $g : D \to S$ a morphism of finite type, $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ the set of irreducible components of D. We assume that, for each $i \in I$, the restriction $f|_{D_i} : D_i \to S$ is surjective. Then there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that, for every point $v \in V$ and for any indices $i, j \in I$ $(i \neq j)$, the fibers $D_{i,v}$ and $D_{j,v}$ do not have common irreducible components.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that D has only two irreducible components D_1 and D_2. We denote by D_i° $(i = 1, 2)$ the complement of $D_1 \cap D_2$ in D_i. Let η be the generic point of S. For each $i = 1, 2$, the canonical inclusion $D^\circ_{i,\eta} \subseteq D_{i,\eta}$ is dense since $D_{i,\eta}$ is irreducible. By [5, III, 9.5.3], there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$, such that, for any point $v \in V$ and each $i = 1, 2$, the canonical inclusion $D^\circ_{i,v} \subseteq D_{i,v}$ is dense. It is equivalent to say that, for any $v \in V$, the fibers $D_{1,v}$ and $D_{2,v}$ do not have common irreducible components. □

Proposition 5.5 (cf. [5, III, 9.7.7]). *Let S and D be integral k-schemes and $f : D \to S$ a smooth k-morphism. Then there exists an irreducible k-scheme W and an étale map $h : W \to S$ such that,*

1. *Each connected component of $D \times_S W$ is irreducible;*
2. *Every connected component of $D \times_S W$ has geometrically irreducible fibers over W.*

Proof. We denote by η the generic point of S. There exists a point η' and a finite étale morphism $h_0 : \eta' \to \eta$ such that every irreducible component of $D_{\eta'} = D \times_S \eta'$ is geometrically irreducible. We denote by S' the normalization of S in η'. Since the canonical map $D' = D \times_S S' \to S'$ is flat, the image of the generic point of each irreducible component of D' in S' is η', i.e., there is a one to one correspondence between the irreducible components of D' and those of $D_{\eta'}$. After replacing S' by a Zariski neighborhood W' of η', we may assume that W' is a smooth k-scheme and étale over S. Then, each connected component of $D \times_S W'$ is a smooth k-scheme, particularly, is irreducible. We denote by $\{E_r\}_{r\in J}$ the set of connected components of $D \times_S W'$. By [5, III, 9.7.7], for each $r \in J$, there exists an Zariski open dense subset $W_r \subset W'$ such that, for every $w \in W_r$, the fiber $E_{r,w}$ is geometrically irreducible. We let W be the intersection of all W_r $(r \in J)$. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let S be an irreducible k-scheme, $f : X \to S$ a smooth k-morphism, $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ a set of effective Cartier divisors on X relative to S, D the sum of all D_i $(i \in I)$. For every $i \in I$, we assume that D_i is irreducible as the associated closed subscheme of X and that $f|_{D_i} : D_i \to S$ is smooth. Let $R = \sum_{i\in I} r_i \cdot D_i$ be a Cartier divisor on X. If there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that, for each closed point $t \in V$, the fiber R_t is an effective Cartier divisor on X_t (2.3), then R is also effective.*

Proof. We may assume that S is integral. By proposition 5.4, this problem can be reduced to the case where D is irreducible. Let $h : W \to S$ be an étale morphism and we denote by $h_W : X_W = X \times_S W \to X$ its base change. Then R is effective if and only if $h_W^* R$ is effective. Hence, replacing S by an étale neighborhood, we may assume that, for any point $s \in S$, the fiber D_s is geometrically irreducible (proposition 5.5). In this case, for any closed point $s \in S$ such that $D_s \neq \varnothing$, the coefficient of D_s in R_s equals the coefficient of D in R. □

Proposition 5.7 ([9, Proposition 3.8]). *Let X be a smooth k-scheme, D a reduced Cartier divisor on X, U the complement of D in X, $j : U \to X$ the canonical injection and $\mathscr{F}$ a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on U. Then for any smooth morphism $\pi : Y \to X$, we have*

$$\pi^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_Y(\pi^* j_!\mathscr{F}). \tag{5.7.1}$$

6. Bounding the pull-back of the total dimension divisor over a curve

6.1. In this section, we prove proposition 4.2. We take the notation and assumptions of 4.1 and proposition 4.2.

6.2. Proposition 4.2 is a local statement for the Zariski topology on X. We assume that X is connected of dimension $n \geqslant 2$. Choose a regular system of parameters $t_1, \cdots, t_n$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X,x}$ such that the ideal $(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1})$ is the kernel of canonical map $i^* : \mathscr{O}_{X,x} \to \mathscr{O}_{C,x}$. We defined a map $f_x : k[T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}] \to \mathscr{O}_{X,x}$ by

$$f_x \colon k[T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}] \to \mathscr{O}_{X,x}, \quad T_r \mapsto t_r.$$

In a Zariski neighborhood of $x \in X$, it induces a k-morphism $f : X \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ which is smooth at x. Since C intersects D properly at x, the restriction $f|_D : D \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ is flat and quasi-finite at $x \in X$ (proposition 5.1). After shrinking X, we may assume that the map f is smooth and the restriction $f|_D : D \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ is flat and quasi-finite. Notice that $C = f^{-1}(O)$ where $O \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ denotes the origin.

6.3. By [5, IV, 18.12.1] (cf. proposition 5.2), there exists a connected étale neighborhood $\rho : V \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ of O and a point $x' \in D_V = D \times_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k} V$ above x such that D_V is a disjoint union of two schemes such that one of them, denoted by R, is finite and flat over V and x' is the unique point in the intersection $f_V^{-1}(O') \cap R$, where $f_V : X_V \to V$ denotes the base change of $f : X \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$ and $O' = f_V(x')$. After replacing X_V by a Zariski neighborhood W of x', we may assume that $R = D_V \cap W$. We denote by $g : W \to V$ the composition of the canonical injection $W \to X_V$ and $f_V : X_V \to V$. We have the following commutative diagram

(6.3.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \longrightarrow & W & \xrightarrow{g} & V \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} \\ D & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow[f]{} & \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k \end{array}$$

where the left square is Cartesian.

Let $Z \subseteq R$ be a closed subset of codimension 1 in R such that $R - Z$ is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and the ramification of $\mathscr{F}|_W$ along $R - Z$ is non-degenerate (3.6). Since $g|_R : R \to V$ is finite and flat, the image $g(Z)$ is a closed subset of V, which is not dense in V. Then there exists a smooth k-curve B and an immersion $\iota : B \to V$ such that $O' \in \iota(B)$ and that $\iota(B) \cap g(Z) \subseteq \{O'\}$.

6.4. For any closed point $b \in B - \{O'\}$, we have [9, Proposition 3.9] (cf. (3.7.1))

$$\deg(\mathrm{DT}_W(j_!\mathscr{F}|_W), g^{-1}(b)) \geqslant \sum_{y \in (R \cap g^{-1}(b))_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{dimtot}_y(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{g^{-1}(b)}). \tag{6.4.1}$$

By Deligne and Laumon's lower semi-continuity of Swan conductors [8, 2.1.1], the function

$$F \colon B \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad b \mapsto \sum_{y \in (R \cap g^{-1}(b))_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{dimtot}_y(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{g^{-1}(b)}).$$

is lower semi-continuous. Meanwhile, the function

$$G : B \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad b \mapsto \deg(\mathrm{DT}_W(j_!\mathscr{F}|_W), g^{-1}(b))$$

is constant, since $\mathrm{DT}_W(j_!\mathscr{F}|_W)$ is a divisor on W supported on R and $g|_R : R \to V$ is finite and flat. We deduce form (6.4.1) that $G(O') \geqslant F(O')$, i.e.,

$$(\mathrm{DT}_W(j_!\mathscr{F}|_W), g^{-1}(O'))_{x'} \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_{x'}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{g^{-1}(O')}) = \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{6.4.2}$$

By proposition 5.7 and the flat pull-back formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{DT}_W(j_!\mathscr{F}|_W), g^{-1}(O'))_{x'} &= (\pi^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})), \pi^*C)_{x'} \\ &= (\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4.3}$$

By (6.4.2) and (6.4.3), we obtain (4.2.1)

$$(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C).$$

□

7. Bounding the pull-back of the total dimension divisor over an arbitrary variety

7.1. In this section, we prove theorem 4.3 and give a corollary. We take the notation and assumptions of 4.1 and theorem 4.3.

7.2. *proof of theorem 4.3.*

This is a local problem for the Zariski topology of Y. We may assume that $f : Y \to X$ is the composition of a closed immersion $i : Y \to \mathbb{A}^n_X$ and the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{A}^n_X \to X$. By proposition 5.7, we have

$$\pi^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_{\mathbb{A}^n_X}(\pi^* j_!\mathscr{F}).$$

Hence, we are reduced to the case where $f : Y \to X$ is a closed immersion. The case $\dim_k Y = 1$ is done in section 6. We focus on the case where $\dim_k Y \geqslant 2$.

After replacing Y by a neighborhood of a generic point of $S = (Y \times_X D)_{\mathrm{red}}$, we may assume that S is irreducible and smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and that the ramification of $f^*j_!\mathscr{F}$ along S is non-degenerate (3.6). Let y be a closed point of S. The fiber $SS_y(f^*j_!\mathscr{F}) \in \mathbb{T}^*_y Y$ of the singular support of $f^*j_!\mathscr{F}$ is of dimension 1. By proposition 5.3, there exists a smooth k-curve C and a closed immersion $i : C \to Y$ such that C intersects S transversally at y and that $i : C \to Y$ is $SS(^*j_!\mathscr{F})$-transversal at y.

By [9, Proposition 3.9] (cf. (3.7.2)), we have

$$(\mathrm{DT}_Y(f^*j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_y = \mathrm{dimtot}_y(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{7.2.1}$$

We put $x = f(y)$. Consider C as a curve in X by the closed immersion $f \circ i : C \to X$. By proposition 4.2, we have

$$(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_x(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{7.2.2}$$

Since f is a closed immersion, we have

$$(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_x = (f^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})), C)_y. \tag{7.2.3}$$

By (7.2.1), (7.2.2) and (7.2.3), we have

$$(f^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})), C)_y \geqslant (\mathrm{DT}_Y(f^*j_!\mathscr{F}), C)_y. \tag{7.2.4}$$

Since C intersects S transversally at y, the inequality (7.2.4) implies that

$$f^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_Y(f^*j_!\mathscr{F}).$$

□

7.3. Let z be a closed point of D, $\widetilde{X}$ the blow-up of X at z, $g : \widetilde{X} \to X$ the canonical projection, $\widetilde{j} : U \to \widetilde{X}$ the unique lifting of $j : U \to X$, $\{D_i\}_{i\in I}$ the set of irreducible components of D that contains z, $\widetilde{D}_i$ $(i \in I)$ the strict transform of D_i in $\widetilde{X}$ and $E = g^{-1}(z)$ the exceptional divisor on $\widetilde{X}$. We denote by $e_z(D_i)$ $(i \in I)$ the multiplicity of D_i at z [4, 4.3].

Corollary 7.4. *We have the following inequality (remark 3.4)*

$$\sum_{i\in I} e_z(D_i) \cdot \mathrm{dimtot}_{D_i}(j_!\mathscr{F}) \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_E(\widetilde{j}_!\mathscr{F}). \tag{7.4.1}$$

Proof. Applying theorem 4.3 to the morphism $g : \widetilde{X} \to X$, we have

$$g^*(\mathrm{DT}_X(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{j}_!\mathscr{F}). \tag{7.4.2}$$

Since $g^*D_i = \widetilde{D}_i + e_z(D_i) \cdot E$ [4, Example 4.3.9], the coefficient of E in the left hand side of (7.4.2) is $\sum_{i\in I} e_z(D_i) \cdot \mathrm{dimtot}_{D_i}(j_!\mathscr{F})$. The coefficient of E in the right hand side of (7.4.2) is $\mathrm{dimtot}_E(j_!\mathscr{F})$. Hence, we get (7.4.1). □

8. Semi-continuity of total dimension divisors

8.1. In this section, we prove theorem 4.5. We take the notation and assumptions of 4.4. If $f : X \to S$ is a relative curve, then theorem 4.5 is just a consequence of [8, 2.1.1] (cf. theorem 1.2). We focus on the case where $\dim_k X - \dim_k S \geqslant 2$.

Proposition 8.2. *For each closed point $s \in S$, we have*

$$\rho_s^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}). \tag{8.2.1}$$

Proof. We may assume that S is integral. By de Jong's alteration [6, 4.1], there exists a smooth k-scheme S' and a proper, surjective and generically finite morphism $\alpha : S' \to S$. Let $f' : X' \to S'$ be the base change of $f : X \to S$, $s \in S$ a closed point, $s' \in S'$ a closed point above s and $\rho'_{s'} : X'_{s'} \to X'$ the canonical injection. Notice that $X'_{s'} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_s$. By (3.5.2), to show (8.2.1), it is sufficient to show that

$$\rho_{s'}'^*(\mathrm{GDT}_{f'}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X'})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_{s'}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X'_{s'}}).$$

Hence, we are reduced to the case where S is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$.

In the following, we fix a closed point $s \in S$. This is a local statement for the Zariski topology of X. After shrinking X, we may assume that X is irreducible, that $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ has a unique irreducible component and that, for each $i \in I$, $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}} \subseteq D_i$. Notice that, for each $i \in I$, we have $D_{i,s} \xrightarrow{\sim} (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$. We put $n = \dim_k X - \dim_k S$.

Let $z \in D_s$ be a closed point such that the ramification of $j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}$ along $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is non-degenerate at z (3.6). We can find a smooth k-curve C and a closed immersion $\iota : C \to X_s$ such that the curve C intersects $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ transversally at z and that the immersion $\iota : C \to X_s$ is $SS(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s})$-transversal at z (proposition 5.3) . Choose a regular system of parameters $\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \cdots, \bar{t}_n$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X_s,z}$, such that $(\bar{t}_1, \cdots, \bar{t}_{n-1})$ is the kernel of $\mathscr{O}_{X_s,z} \to \mathscr{O}_{C,z}$ and that $(\bar{t}_n)$ is the kernel of $\mathscr{O}_{X_s,z} \to \mathscr{O}_{(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}},z}$. For each $1 \leqslant r \leqslant n-1$, choose a lifting $t_r \in \mathscr{O}_{X,z}$ of $\bar{t}_r \in \mathscr{O}_{X_s,z}$. We define an $\mathscr{O}_{S,s}$-homomorphism $g_z : \mathscr{O}_{S,s}[T_1, \cdots, T_{n-1}] \to \mathscr{O}_{X,z}$ by

$$g_z : \mathscr{O}_{S,s}[T_1, \cdots T_{n-1}] \to \mathscr{O}_{X,z}, \quad T_r \mapsto t_r.$$

After replacing X by a Zariski neighborhood of z, the map g_z induces an S-morphism $g : X \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$. It satisfies the following conditions after shrinking further X

(i) it is smooth and of relative dimension 1;

(ii) for each $i \in I$, the restriction $g|_{D_i} : D_i \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ is étale;
(iii) the restriction $g|_D : D \to A^{n-1}_S$ is quasi-finite and flat (proposition 5.1);
(iv) the curve C is the pre-image $g^{-1}(s \times O)$, where $s \times O$ denotes product of $s \in S$ and the origin $O \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$.

Let $\sigma : S \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ be the zero-section of the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S \to S$. We denote by $h : Y \to S$ the base change of $g : X \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ by $\sigma : S \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ and we put $E = Y \times_X D$ and, for $i \in I$, put $E_i = Y \times_X D_i$. By proposition 5.2, there exists a connected étale neighborhood $\gamma : S' \to S$ of $s \in S$ such that

(1) the pre-image $s' = \gamma^{-1}(s)$ is a point;
(2) the fiber product $E' = E \times_S S'$ is a disjoint union of two schemes Q' and T',
(3) the canonical map $Q' \to S'$ is finite and flat and $Q'_{s'} = \{z'\}$, where z' denotes the unique pre-image of $z \in E_s$.

Since the restriction $h|_{E_i} : E_i \to S$ is étale for each $i \in I$, we may also assmue that

(4) for each $i \in I$, the canonical map $Q'_i = E_i \times_E Q' \to S'$ is an isomorphism.

We have the following commutative diagram with Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Q' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & D \\
 & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 & & Y' & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X \\
 & & {\scriptstyle h'}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
 & & S' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & S & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S \xrightarrow{\pi} S
\end{array}$$

Let η be the generic point of S and $\bar{\eta}$ an algebraic geometric point above η that factors through S'. Recall that $X_{\bar{\eta}} = X \times_S \bar{\eta}$ (2.3). We put $Y'_{\bar{\eta}} = Y' \times_{S'} \bar{\eta}$ and, for each $i \in I$, put $\bar{\eta}_i = Q'_i \times_{S'} \bar{\eta}$. Notice that $Y'_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a smooth $\bar{\eta}$-curve and $\bar{\eta}_i$ $(i \in I)$ are distinct closed points on $Y'_{\bar{\eta}}$. By [8, 2.1.1] (cf. theorem 1.2), we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} \mathrm{dimtot}_{\bar{\eta}_i}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{Y'_{\bar{\eta}}}) \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_z(j_! \mathscr{F}|_C). \tag{8.2.2}$$

For every $i \in I$, we have (proposition 4.2)

$$(\mathrm{DT}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}), Y'_{\bar{\eta}})_{\bar{\eta}_i} \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_{\bar{\eta}_i}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{Y'_{\bar{\eta}}}). \tag{8.2.3}$$

Notice that, for each $i \in I$, the curve $Y'_{\bar{\eta}}$ intersects the divisor $D_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ transversally in $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ at the point $\bar{\eta}_i$. Then $(\mathrm{DT}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}), Y'_{\bar{\eta}})_{\bar{\eta}_i}$ is the coefficient of $D_{i,\eta}$ in the divisor $\mathrm{DT}_{X_\eta}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{X_\eta})$. It is also the coefficient of D_i in the cycle $\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_! \mathscr{F})$. By (8.2.3), we have

$$\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_! \mathscr{F}) \geqslant \sum_{i \in I} \mathrm{dimtot}_{\bar{\eta}_i}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{Y'_{\bar{\eta}}}) \cdot D_i. \tag{8.2.4}$$

Since the immersion $\iota : C \to X_s$ is $SS(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{X_s})$-transversal at z, we have (3.7.2)

$$(\mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{X_s}), C)_z = \mathrm{dimtot}_z(j_! \mathscr{F}|_C),$$

which implies that

$$\mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_! \mathscr{F}|_{X_s}) = \mathrm{dimtot}_z(j_! \mathscr{F}|_C) \cdot (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}, \tag{8.2.5}$$

since C intersects $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ transversally in X_s at z. By (8.2.2), (8.2.4) and (8.2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_s^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) &\geqslant \sum_{i\in I}\mathrm{dimtot}_{\bar{\eta}_i}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{\bar{\eta}_i})\cdot D_{i,s}\\ &\geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_z(j_!\mathscr{F}|_C)\cdot(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Using a similar method, we prove the following proposition which implies that the total dimension number on the generic fiber reaches minimum in an open dense subset of S. It is the key step of proving proposition 8.4.

Proposition 8.3. *Let η be the generic point of S. We assume that, for each point $t\in S$, the fiber D_t is geometrically irreducible. Then, there exists an open dense subset $V\subseteq S$, such that, for any point $t\in V$, we have*

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_{D_\eta}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_\eta})\leqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_{D_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t}).$$

Proof. This is a Zariski local problem at the generic point of S. We may assume that S is smooth and that X is irreducible. We put $n=\dim_k X-\dim_k S$. Let T be a connected and smooth k-scheme and $\beta: T\to S$ a flat and generically finite morphism. By (3.3.2), to verify the proposition, it is enough to verify it after the base change by $\beta: T\to S$.

Let $\bar{\eta}\to S$ be an algebraic geometric point above η, $\bar{z}\in D_{\bar{\eta}}$ a closed point such that $D_{\bar{\eta}}$ is smooth at $\bar{z}$ and that the ramification of $\mathscr{F}|_{U_{\bar{\eta}}}$ along $D_{\bar{\eta}}$ is non-degenerate at $\bar{z}$. Since S can be replaced by a flat and generically finite cover T as above, we may assume that $\bar{z}$ can be descended to a $k(\eta)$-rational point $z\in D_\eta$. Since the function field $k(\eta)$ of S has infinitely many elements, after shrinking X, we can find a smooth $k(\eta)$-curve C and a closed immersion $\iota: C\to X_\eta$ such that the curve C intersects D_η transversally at z and that the base change $\iota_{\bar{\eta}}: C_{\bar{\eta}}\to X_{\bar{\eta}}$ of $\iota: C\to X_\eta$ is $SS(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}})$-transversal at $\bar{z}$ (proposition 5.3).

Choose a regular system of parameters $t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X_\eta,z}$ such that $(t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_{n-1})$ is the kernel of $\mathscr{O}_{X_\eta,z}\to\mathscr{O}_{C,z}$ and that (t_n) is the kernel of $\mathscr{O}_{X,z}\to\mathscr{O}_{D,z}$. We define a $k(\eta)$-morphism $g_\eta: k(\eta)[T_1,T_2,\cdots T_{n-1}]\to\mathscr{O}_{X_\eta,z}$ by

$$g_\eta: k(\eta)[T_1,T_2,\cdots T_{n-1}]\to\mathscr{O}_{X,z},\quad T_i\mapsto t_i.$$

After shrinking X by a Zariski neighborhood of z again, the map g_η induces an S-morphism $g: X\to\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ which satisfies the following conditions

(i) it is smooth and of relative dimension 1;
(ii) the restriction $g|_D: D\to\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ is étale;
(iii) the curve C is the pre-image $g^{-1}(\eta\times O)$, where $\eta\times O$ denotes fiber product of $\eta\in S$ and the origin $O\in\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$.

Let $\sigma: S\to\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ be the zero-section of the canonical projection $\pi:\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S\to S$. We denote by $h: Y\to S$ the base change of $g: X\to\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ by $\sigma: S\to\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_S$ and we put $E=Y\times_X D$. Since $h|_E: E\to S$ is étale, there exists a connected étale neighborhood $\gamma: S'\to S$ of the geometric point $\bar{\eta}\to S$ such that

(1) the fiber product $E'=E\times_S S'$ is a disjoint union of Q' and P';
(2) the canonical map $Q'\to S'$ is an isomorphism and Q' contains a pre-image of $z\in E_\eta$.

We have the following diagram with Cartesian squares



We denote by η' the generic point of S'. For any geometric point $\bar{t}' \to S'$, we put $Y'_{\bar{t}'} = Y' \times_{S'} \bar{t}'$, put $Q'_{\bar{t}'} = Q' \times_{S'} \bar{t}'$, put $X_{\bar{t}'} = X \times_S \bar{t}'$ and put $D_{\bar{t}'} = D \times_S \bar{t}'$. Notice that $Y'_{\bar{t}'}$ is a smooth $\bar{t}'$-curve and that $Q'_{\bar{t}'} \in Y'_{\bar{t}'}$ is a closed point. By [8, 2.1.1] (cf. theorem 1.2), there exists an open dense subset $V' \subseteq S'$ such that, for any $t' \in V'$ and an algebraic geometric point $\bar{t}' \to V'$ above t', we have

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_{Q'_{\bar{t}'}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{Y'_{\bar{t}'}}) = \mathrm{dimtot}_{\bar{z}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{C_{\bar{\eta}}}). \tag{8.3.1}$$

Notice that $Y'_{\bar{t}'}$ intersects $D_{\bar{t}'}$ transversally at $Q'_{\bar{t}'}$ in $X_{\bar{t}'}$. Put $t = \gamma(t')$. We have (proposition 4.2)

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_{D_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t}) = (\mathrm{DT}_{X_{\bar{t}'}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{t}'}}), Y'_{\bar{t}'})_{Q'_{\bar{t}'}} \geqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_{Q'_{\bar{t}'}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{Y'_{\bar{t}'}}). \tag{8.3.2}$$

By [9, Corollary 3.9] (cf. (3.7.2)), we have

$$\mathrm{dimtot}_{D_\eta}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_\eta}) = (\mathrm{DT}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}), C_{\bar{\eta}})_{\bar{z}} = \mathrm{dimtot}_{\bar{z}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{C_{\bar{\eta}}}). \tag{8.3.3}$$

Hence, by (8.3.1), (8.3.2) and (8.3.3), we have $\mathrm{dimtot}_{D_\eta}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{U_\eta}) \leqslant \mathrm{dimtot}_{D_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{U_t})$ for any point t in the open dense subset $\gamma(V') \subseteq S$. □

Proposition 8.4. *There exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that, for any point $t \in V$, we have*

$$\rho_t^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \leqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t}).$$

Proof. This is a Zariski local problem at the generic point of S. We may assume that, for each point $s \in S$ and any indices $i, r \in I$ $(i \neq r)$, the fibers $D_{i,s}$ and $D_{r,s}$ have distinct irreducible components (proposition 5.4). Hence the proposition can be reduced to the case where D is irreducible. We may assume that S is integral. By proposition 5.5, there exists a connected smooth k-scheme S' and an étale map $\gamma : S' \to S$ such that $D' = D \times_S S'$ is the disjoint union of its irreducible components and that every irreducible component of D' has geometrically irreducible fibers at each point of S'.

We put $X' = X \times_S S'$ and denote by $f' : X' \to S'$ the canonical projection. For every point $t' \in S'$, we put $X_{t'} = X \times_S t'$. We denote by $\rho'_{t'} : X_{t'} \to X'$ the canonical injection, by $\gamma' : X' \to X$ the base change of $\gamma : S' \to S$. We put $t = \gamma(t')$ and denote by $\gamma'_t : X_{t'} \to X_t$ the pull-back of $t' \to t$. We have the following commutative diagram



There exists an open dense subset $V' \subseteq S'$ such that, for any point $t' \in V'$, we have (proposition 8.3)

$$\rho_{t'}'^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_{f'}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X'})) \leqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_{t'}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{t'}}). \tag{8.4.1}$$

By (3.3.2) and (3.5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) &= \mathrm{GDT}_{f'}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X'}), \\ \gamma_t'^{*}(\mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t})) &= \mathrm{DT}_{X_{t'}}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_{t'}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (8.4.1) implies that, for any $t' \in V'$,

$$\gamma_t'^{*}(\rho_t^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F}))) = \rho_{t'}'^{*}(\gamma'^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F}))) \leqslant \gamma_t'^{*}(\mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t})). \tag{8.4.2}$$

Since $\gamma_t' : X_{t'} \to X_t$ is surjective and étale, we deduce from (8.4.2) that

$$\rho_t^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(\mathscr{F})) \leqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t})$$

for each point $t \in \gamma(V') \subseteq S$. □

8.5. Fix a non-closed point of $t \in S$. We denote by T the smooth part of $\overline{\{t\}}$, which is an open dense subset of $\overline{\{t\}}$. We put $X_T = X \times_S T$ and denote by $f_T : X_T \to T$ the canonical projection, by $\rho_T : X_T \to X$ the canonical injection and, for any point $s \in T$, by $\iota_s : X_s \to X_T$ the base change of the inclusion $s \to T$. We have the following commutative diagram



8.6. *Proof of theorem 4.5.*

Step 1. By proposition 8.4, there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that, for each $t \in V$, we have $\rho_t^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \leqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t})$. Meanwhile, for any closed point $s \in V$, we have $\rho_s^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s})$ (proposition 8.2). Hence, for any closed point $s \in V$, we have

$$\rho_s^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}). \tag{8.6.1}$$

Step 2. Let $t \in V$ be a non-closed point. We take the notation of 8.5. By Step 1, for every closed point $s \in T \cap V$, we have

$$\iota_s^{*}(\rho_T^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F}))) = \rho_s^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}). \tag{8.6.2}$$

Apply Step 1 to $(\mathscr{F}|_{U_T}, f_T : X_T \to T)$. There exists an open dense subset $W \subset T \cap V$ such that, for every closed point $s \in W$, we have

$$\iota_s^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_{f_T}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_T})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}). \tag{8.6.3}$$

Hence, for any closed point $s \in W$, we have ((8.6.2) and (8.6.3))

$$\iota_s^{*}(\rho_T^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F}))) = \iota_s^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_{f_T}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_T})).$$

By corollary 5.6, we obtain that $\rho_T^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{GDT}_{f_T}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_T})$. Applying ι_t^{*} to both sides of the equation, we get

$$\rho_t^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t}).$$

Hence (8.6.1) is valid for any point of V, i.e., property (1) of theorem 4.5 is proved.

Step 3. Let $t \in S - V$ be a point. If t is closed, we have (proposition 8.2)

$$\rho_t^{*}(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t}). \tag{8.6.4}$$

Assume that t is not closed. We take the notation of 8.5. Applying Step 1 to $(\mathscr{F}|_{U_T}, f_T : X_T \to T)$, there exists an open dense subset $W \subseteq T$ such that, for any closed point $s \in W$, we have

$$\iota_s^*(\mathrm{GDT}_{f_T}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_T})) = \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}). \tag{8.6.5}$$

By proposition 8.2, for each $s \in W$, we have

$$\iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F}))) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_s}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_s}). \tag{8.6.6}$$

By (8.6.5), (8.6.6) and corollary 5.6, we get

$$\rho_T^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{GDT}_{f_T}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_T}).$$

Applying ι_t^* to both sides of the equation, we obtain again

$$\rho_t^*(\mathrm{GDT}_f(j_!\mathscr{F})) \geqslant \mathrm{DT}_{X_t}(j_!\mathscr{F}|_{X_t}).$$

Thus, property (2) of theorem 4.5 is proved. □

References

[1] A. Abbes and T. Saito, *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields*. Amer. J. Math. **124**, (2002), 879–920.

[2] A. Abbes and T. Saito, *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields II*. Doc. Math. Extra Volume Kato, (2003), 5–72.

[3] A. Beilinson, *Constructible sheaves are holonomic*. arXiv:1505.06768v5.

[4] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

[5] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Sci. **20**, (1964), 5–259; **24**, (1965), 5–231; **28**, (1966), 5–255; **32**, (1967), 5–361.

[6] A.J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **83**, (1996), 51–93.

[7] N. Katz, *Gauss sum, Kloostetman sum, and monodromy groups*. Annals of Mathematics studies **116**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.

[8] G. Laumon, *Semi-continuité du conducteur de Swan (d'après Deligne)*. Séminaire E.N.S. (1978-1979) Exposé **9**, Astérisque 82-83 (1981) 173-219.

[9] T. Saito, *Wild ramification and the contangent bundle*. To appear at Journal of Algebraic Geometry.

[10] T. Saito, *Characteristic cycle and the Euler number of a constructible sheaf on a surface*. Kodaira Centennial issue of the Journal of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, (2015) 22: 387-442.

[11] T. Saito, *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*. arXiv:1510.03018.

[12] J.P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1997.

[13] L. Xiao, *On ramification filtrations and p-adic differential equations, I: equal characterisitc case*. Algebra and Number Theory, **4**, (2010), 969–1027.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: huhaoyu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp, huhaoyu1987@gmail.com

FU Berlin, Mathematik und Informatik, Institut für Mathematik, Arnimallee 6, 14195 Berlin, Germany

E-mail address: enlin.yang@fu-berlin.de, yangenlin0727@126.com